



Efficient Markets Hypothesis

Mingzhu Wang International Summer Semester 2016 Sungkyunkwan University





- 1. Capital markets & the Efficient Market Hypothesis
- 2. Different types of efficiency within EMH
- 3. Empirical evidence



Efficient Market Hypothesis

- According to the Efficient Market Hypothesis (EMH), an *efficient* capital market is one in which "asset prices always fully reflect available information" (Fama, 1970)
- As a consequence, markets "allocate society's scarce capital almost perfectly by setting prices to fluctuate randomly around their 'true' fundamental values" (Frydman & Goldberg, 2011)
- EMH based on a set of three key assumptions
 - Investors are rational
 - Independence of events (no unforeseen change can be linked to known past or current events)
 - Arbitrage
- The EMH has key implications for the functioning of capital markets



What's Arbitrage?



EMH implications for investors & firms

- For investors, any attempt to earn excess returns (after taking risk into account) is bound to fail
 - Knowing information when it is released does an investor little good; the price adjusts before investors have time to trade on it
- Firms should expect to receive the fair value for securities that they sell. *Fair* means that the price they receive from issuing securities is the present value
 - Firms cannot profit from fooling investors in an efficient market









2. Different types of efficiency

- Weak Form
 - Security prices reflect all historical information.
- Semi-strong Form
 - Security prices reflect all publicly available information.
- Strong Form
 - Security prices reflect all information—public and private.



Weak form market efficiency

- Security prices reflect all information found in past prices and volume
- If the weak form of market efficiency holds, then technical analysis is of no value
- Since stock prices only respond to *new* information, which according to this theory arrives randomly, stock prices are said to follow a **random walk**

$$P_t = P_{t-1} + \text{Expected return} + \text{Random error}_t$$



Why technical analysis fails

Investor behavior tends to eliminate any profit opportunity associated with stock price patterns



If it were possible to make money simply by finding "the pattern" in the stock price movements, everyone would do it, and the profits would be competed away

Time



Semi-strong form market efficiency

- Security prices reflect all publicly available information
- Publicly available information includes:
 - Historical price and volume information
 - Published accounting statements
 - Information found in annual reports



Strong form market efficiency

- Security prices reflect all information public and private
- Strong form efficiency incorporates weak and semistrong form efficiency
- Strong form efficiency says that anything pertinent to the stock and known to at least one investor is already incorporated into the security's price



Information sets





What the EMH does and does not say

- Investors can throw darts to select stocks
 - This is almost, but not quite, true
 - An investor must still decide how risky a portfolio he wants based on risk aversion and expected return
- Prices are random or uncaused
 - Prices reflect information
 - Changes in prices are driven by *new* information, which according to the theory arrives randomly
 - Therefore, financial managers cannot "time" stock and bond sales



3. The evidence

- The record on the EMH is extensive
- Some view it as reassuring to advocates of the efficiency of markets (pre-2007)
- Studies fall into three broad categories:
 - 1. Are changes in stock prices random? Are there profitable "trading rules?"
 - 2. Event studies: does the market quickly and accurately respond to new information?
 - 3. The record of professionally managed investment firms

Are changes in stock prices random?

- Can we really tell?
 - Many psychologists and statisticians believe that most people want to see patterns even when faced with pure randomness
 - Are patterns mere optical illusions? See behaviorists' response
- A matter of degree
 - Even if we can spot patterns, we need to have returns that beat our transactions costs
- Random stock price changes would support weak form efficiency



What pattern do you see?











- Event Studies are one type of test of the semi-strong form of market efficiency
 - Remember, this form of the EMH implies that prices should reflect all publicly available information
- To test this, event studies examine prices and returns over time—particularly around the arrival of new information
- Test for evidence of under-reaction, overreaction, early reaction, or delayed reaction around the event



The record of mutual funds

 If the market is semi-strong form efficient, then no matter what publicly available information mutual fund managers rely on to pick stocks, their average returns should be the same as those of the average investor in the market as a whole.

• We can test efficiency by comparing the performance of professionally managed mutual funds with the performance of a market index.





Source: Lubos Pastor and Robert F. Stambaugh, "Mutual Fund Performance and Seemingly Unrelated Assets," Journal of Financial Exonomics, 63 (2002).



Dogbert Investment Fund





The strong form of the EMH

 One group of studies of strong form market efficiency investigates insider trading

 A number of studies support the view that insider trading is abnormally profitable

 Thus, strong form efficiency does not seem to be substantiated by the evidence



Cumulative Abnormal Returns from UK Director Trading

UK



Abnormal Return and Cumulative Abnormal Return

- Returns are adjusted to determine if they are *abnormal* by taking into account what the rest of the market did that day.
- The Abnormal Return (AR) on a given stock for a particular day can be calculated by subtracting the market's return on the same day (R_M) from the actual return (R) on the stock for that day:

$$AR_{j,t} = R_{j,t} - R_{M,t}$$

 The Cumulative Abnormal Return (CAR) can be calculated as:

$$CAR_{j,t} = \sum_{-T} AR_{j,t}$$

(Aggregate abnormal returns from –T to t)



Is Insider Trading a Victimless Crime?



https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2BtawLeS5fM



- The evidence certainly does not support the strong form EMH
- There is some degree of support for the semi-strong form and the weak form
 - But this evidence is more and more questioned by nonmainstream financial economists
- There is anecdotal evidence against EMH (insider trading, corporate scandals...)
- More importantly, there have been serious theoretical and empirical challenges in recent years